



**After the Silcott scandal at the LSE, the spotlight is on...**

# BRITAIN'S STUDENT GARBAGE

NOT FOR THE FIRST TIME in this decade of Tory rule, the scum of Britain's left-wing political underworld has been allowed to get away with outrage. Led by such figures as Iranian Hussein Zahir and cosmopolitan agitator Robert Gross from America, red students at the London School of Economics have won a vote launched by themselves to elect Winston Silcott as 'Honorary President' of the LSE Students' Union. Silcott, as everyone should know, is the currently jailed ringleader of the mob of savages who hacked PC Keith Blakelock to death with knives and machetes during the 1985 Tottenham race riot.

Almost straightaway, Tory Education Secretary Kenneth Baker was on his high horse mouthing indignant-sounding noises against the red students on behalf of the Government. Feeling politically pressurised, and fearful that the affair might give ammunition to those up and down Britain critical of his institution's prevailing climate of far-left aggression, LSE Director Indraprasad Patel induced the Students' Union to call a second meeting at which the vote in favour of Silcott would supposedly be reversed in a new election.

As it turned out, the reds were easily able to muster enough of their kind to stop any change. In fact they did not even need a numerical majority of votes to get their way; under Union rules a two-thirds majority would have been needed to topple Silcott. The reds were a minority at the meeting, but they still had more than a third of the votes cast. So Silcott's election stood — though subsequently, on the advice of his lawyer, he stood down from the post to which he had been elected.

Since this time, the LSE's School of African and Oriental Studies has officially endorsed Silcott. It is also reported that other student unions up and down the country are following suit. According to the left-wing magazine *Time Out*, "Moves are afoot at Middlesex Polytechnic, Thames Polytechnic, University College London and Goldsmith's College to give Silcott honorary life membership," and "the University of Ulster looks set to give him life membership...while Oxford and Leicester Universities are debating their tactics this week (May 10th-

17th)." *Time Out* went on to say that "Most London colleges have supported LSE's right to choose Silcott as their Honorary President."

## BLUSTER

This whole fiasco left Education Secretary Baker blustering powerlessly on the sidelines about how a "tiny minority of students can hi-jack a world-famous institution like the LSE." Baker tried to make a petty party political point in the process of passing the buck, by demanding that Labour leader Neil Kinnock disown his supporters at the LSE who helped nominate Silcott for election in the first place. To round off, Baker self-righteously described the whole affair as "a shameful action, showing that the loony left live on at the LSE."

But whilst the Labour Party of Neil

Kinnock undoubtedly is a front for the reds, as is proven by what has happened in almost every local borough in Britain that has gone Labour in the last ten years, many will ask by what right Kenneth Baker and his fellow Tories now try to slough off responsibility — as if the growth of what they call the 'loony left' had nothing to do with them.


They have been the Government for the last decade. It has been under them that these left-wingers have been able to rampage, as never before, almost at will — not just at the LSE but at other educational establishments throughout the country, and indeed in every field of our national life.

## WHAT ARE THEY DOING THERE?

Things have indeed reached the point at which British people are entitled to ask: what is this garbage doing occupying places at our centres of high education?

It is all very well to gloss over the matter by saying that these militant left-wing students, with their vile doctrines, constitute minorities at the universities and colleges where they are to be found. The fact is that, all over Britain, they are strong enough and influential enough minorities to be able effectively to control student political life. This is something that should cause us all deep concern.

Our centres of higher education are the places from where most of our national leaders of tomorrow will be drawn. That these elements have taken control of so many of them is an appalling commentary on how things have been allowed to slide



**WINSTON SILCOTT**

*He was convicted as being the prime mover in the bestial murder of PC Keith Blakelock at the Tottenham riot in October 1985*



**SILCOTT'S VICTIM**

*PC Keith Blakelock (above) was hacked to pieces by a crazed black mob led by Silcott. The LSE decision to elect Silcott 'honorary president' is a foul insult to PC Blakelock's memory and to his widow*



**THE RINGLEADER**

*This Hussein Zahir. He is Iranian — one of the huge numbers of foreign students being educated here at our expense. He led the campaign to get Winston Silcott elected 'Honorary President' of the LSE*

by successive governments, Tory as much as Labour.

One reason why these left militants have control, of course, is that other students, not of the far left, are politically apathetic and cowardly, and fail to be politically active and use their influence to stop those on the left. This is indeed a revealing reflection on the failure of the so-called 'moderate' parties to motivate and mobilise their student followers in political action.

At the end of the day, the fact remains that it is the British taxpayer who foots the bill for most of these freaks to obtain higher education. It is appalling that we are expected to pay for the tutoring of the kind of flotsam and jetsam now controlling politics at the LSE and the other colleges where their type are entrenched.

Another thing that is noteworthy is the large number of foreign students that are engaged in these far left activities. These foreign students are here by this country's good grace and favour (too good in our opinion). At least, while they are here they should show some gratitude for the facilities with which Britain is providing them. But in

*Contd. on page 4*



## British Nationalist

No. 91 JUNE 1989  
Editor: John Morse

### PARALLEL CASES?

ON THE FRONT PAGE of this issue we carry a story which highlights the hypocrisy of Tory Education Secretary Kenneth Baker in denouncing the red students at the London School of Economics for glorifying black cop-killer Winston Silcott.

If the student reds of the LSE thought that they could get away with promoting the likes of Silcott into a martyr — as they have so far done — they probably had one particular previous example in mind.

They would seem to be trying to do the same for Silcott as has been done for convicted black South African communist terrorist Nelson Mandela.

Mandela and Silcott have a fair bit in common.

Although Mandela was involved in a somewhat more far-reaching and sophisticated conspiracy than any that might have been lain at the root of the 1985 Tottenham race riot, in which Silcott played a leading role, the same basic gangsterishness characterised both of them.

Both were involved in stirring up violence and murder against Whites. To be sure, Mandela, in conjunction with the cosmopolitan conspirators of the South African Communist Party standing at his elbow, plotted something on a rather more grandiose scale than anything Silcott undertook. After all, Mandela wanted to overturn the whole of South Africa in blood and fire, with virtually all the country's white people — the creators of order and civilisation — as the prospective victims of the revolutionary onslaught he was working to bring about. But although Silcott only managed to bring about the killing of one white man, it is nevertheless not inconceivable that the same kind of idea was lurking in his mind too.

Nor was there the least doubt of Mandela's guilt. His trial in South Africa a quarter of a century ago was internationally recognised as having been scrupulously fair. In fact he did not even try to deny his terrorist intentions and plans.

In the same way, Silcott is clearly equally guilty as charged, despite wicked attempts by his red friends to suggest otherwise.

The fact that Mandela was fairly convicted as a self-confessed terrorist never stopped an unholy alliance of the world's media and the political left from puffing him up into some sort of international 'hero' and cult-figure, to be looked up to and revered as a victim of so-called 'racist oppression'.

No longer, however, is sympathy for Mandela restricted to the political left. The latter now have a new and potent ally in Britain — none other than our Prime Minister, Mrs. Margaret Thatcher.

At the time of her recent official visit to Africa, during which she did a round tour of the continent's most vicious tin-pot tyrants, Mrs. Thatcher at last publicly joined her voice to that of the left. With a great show of piety, she refused to visit South Africa until that country's leaders agreed unconditionally to release Mandela, the terrorist who planned to murder them and their people.

No wonder that back in Britain the red students of the LSE thought the time ripe to put their oar in on Silcott's behalf!

If Mandela can be made so

Contd. on page 4

## The Thatcher years

# A DECADE OF DISASTER

LAST MONTH marked the tenth anniversary of Mrs. Thatcher's attainment of the premiership. To celebrate the occasion, the Tory press (which means most of the press in this country) engaged in an orgy of congratulatory articles spotlighting the supposed 'achievements' of the Thatcher Government of the past decade, crowing that Mrs. T. had 'revived' Britain and made her once again 'respected' throughout the world.

In fact, the very opposite is true. The decade of Thatcher Government has been a decade of disaster. Britain has not been 'revived', nor is she 'respected' among the nations: on the contrary, there is much evidence that she is even more despised than when Mrs. Thatcher began her term of office in 1979.

Let us look at a few vital areas of British life to see where Thatcherism has led us:-

• **THE ECONOMY:** The Tory Press has deliberately kept up the pretence that the years of Thatcher Government have seen an improvement in the performance of the British economy. In fact, the years of Thatcher have largely gutted manufacturing industry in this country — always the bedrock of our economic strength. Just a few firms have prospered, but the economy in the main has declined. Unemployment is still nearly double what it was when Mrs. Thatcher first came to power.

In the last ten years foreign manufactured goods have poured into this country, making us, for the first time ever, a net importer of finished goods. This has created an appalling trade deficit from which the Government has only been able to protect us by means of squandering North Sea oil.

Now inflation, which the Government curbed for a time by starving the economy of money, is returning, and we are heading for a renewal of the inflationary spiral of the 1970s.

Meanwhile, a great deal of what is left of British manufacturing industry is now foreign-owned — something the Thatcher Government, far from working to prevent, has actively encouraged.

• **LAW AND ORDER:** Over the past ten years, Mrs. Thatcher and her fellow Government representatives have delivered an endless round of speeches in condemnation of rising crime and the need to restore law and order. But during all this time their actual performance has been pathetic. Crime is hitting new record levels. Our prisons are bursting to overflowing. We have seen the appalling inner city riots in London, Birmingham, Liverpool and elsewhere. Britain is drifting, behind all the brave government rhetoric, into chaos and anarchy.

• **IMMIGRATION:** Mrs. Thatcher won the 1979 election largely because people believed her when she said on TV that she was concerned at the danger of Britain being 'swamped' by immigrants. In the years that have followed, the swamping has continued. Just a few cosmetic 'controls' have been introduced, but these have done next to nothing to stem the flood. We saw the consequences in the riots of the 1980s referred to earlier, these involving mainly West Indians. Now a new and alarming dimension has been introduced, with Asians going on the rampage in pursuit of religious vendettas and Asian leaders calling on their followers to defy Britain's laws in order to put pressure on the Government to ban writings of which certain Asians disapprove.

Meanwhile, with the native British birthrate at an all-time low, an increasing portion of the children being

born in this country belong to ethnic minorities — with all the appalling problems this is creating in our educational system.

• **TERRORISM:** Terror in Northern Ireland continues unabated, rendering all the Government tactics of appeasement a waste of time. Mrs. Thatcher persists still in making pious speeches against terrorism, saying that she will never give in to terrorists. Yet only recently she demanded of the South African Government that it release from jail Nelson Mandela, one of the world's most vicious and dangerous terrorist leaders.

• **EDUCATION:** For several years we have heard much talk of Education Minister Kenneth Baker's campaign to reform and improve our schools. Yet so far little has happened beyond mere talk. The Government has had a whole decade to shake up the education system, and still that system is in chaos — with school standards lagging far beyond those of most of our international rivals.

• **THE HEALTH SERVICE:** The Government's running of the National Health Service has been abysmal. The standards in our hospitals are among the lowest in the developed world. Now Health Minister Clarke is trying to make medical care a business run for profit, with doctors encouraged to think more of balancing their books than treating their patients properly. Also, under Clarke's new proposals, while the best doctors and medical equipment will be concentrated in some favoured



CLARKE

*Trying to subject Health Service to the same 'free market' theories that have wrecked everything else in the country*

hospitals in favoured areas, other hospitals in other areas will suffer appalling shortages.

• **NATIONAL DIVISION:** The Britain of Mrs. Thatcher has become a Britain torn apart by crippling racial, social and regional divisions. Quite apart from the fact that large numbers of youngsters are growing up in the ghettos feeling no identification with this country, its history and its traditions, we have a widening of the north-south divide, with prosperity and economic opportunity concentrated, even more than in the past, in the south east corner. On top of this, separatism is now raising its head again in Scotland, fuelled by Government neglect of Scottish needs. The Thatcher society is an everyone-for-himself society, with encouragement everywhere being given to individual selfishness and greed, instead of to a proper sense of national community.

• **BRITAIN AND THE WORLD:** The Tory press has created a myth in which Britain's standing overseas is seen as having improved under Mrs. Thatcher. This myth has been fuelled by the publishing of a few quoted statements by foreign leaders in praise of the Prime Minister. Of course, all these statements indicate is that Mrs. Thatcher has pleased these leaders by making Britain a 'soft touch' for them



MRS. THATCHER

*Ruined Britain to the accompaniment of hymns of praise from the Tory press*

even more than in the past. Mrs. Thatcher has spent much of her time as Premier keeping up the Tory tradition of grovelling to the Americans and giving in to their every demand. No wonder she has earned the approbation of ex-President Reagan!

The real truth is that the world's leaders, behind their expressions of polite diplomacy, despise Britain even more than previously, for the reality is that we have become much weaker, rather than stronger, in the ten years in which Maggie has been in 10 Downing Street.

For a long time, a great many people in Britain have swallowed the mythology surrounding Mrs. Thatcher and actually believed that her premiership has been good for this country. But now the reality is starting to bite, and more and more Britons are turning against the Government. Up to now it has only managed to hold its own in elections because of the paucity of credible opposition. The recent result of the Vale of Glamorgan by-election shows that even this factor is now wearing thin. In an opinion poll the results of which were published in *The Sunday Telegraph* on the 30th April it was found that a clear majority of people in this country are now disenchanted with most of the policies of the Thatcher Government.

It was US President Abraham

Lincoln who once said: "You can't fool all of the people all of the time." The Tory press, together with the rest



BAKER


*In education his record has been that of many words and little action*

of Mrs. Thatcher's well-financed propaganda machine, have managed to fool the people for a good many years. But in the end the state of our country, much more than any media hype, has brought home the truth to the British people. The truth is that the ten years of Thatcher have been ten of the most catastrophic years of our history.

## More oil — but will Govt. squander it?

ACCORDING to Energy Secretary Cecil Parkinson, Britain can expect a new oil 'bonanza'. North Sea oil reserves, he reports, are considerably greater than originally believed, and the oil is likely to last for at least another 25 years.

This would be very welcome news were we to have a government likely to use these oil resources sensibly and providently, not exporting our oil but keeping it for national needs. This is not likely to happen, however. What the Government is likely to do is continue selling the oil on the world's markets, and then use its rake-off to help finance public expenditure. The oil will be wasted, as so many other national assets have been wasted, to rescue government from the results of its own incompetence.



*MEN WORKING NORTH SEA RIG Under Thatcher regime this great national asset has been largely wasted*



# The nightmare that is New York City

## A GLIMPSE INTO BRITAIN'S FUTURE

IT'S A RULE that very often applies: if you want to know what's going to happen in Britain tomorrow, have a look at what's happening in America today.

In particular, it is New York City, America's number-one urban cesspit, that sets the trends. This sink of multi-racialism, drugs and crime has been the pattern for what our own cities are becoming.

Mugging in London and other British cities from the early 1970s onwards was at least in part an import from New York, as was the drugs cult that so often goes with it. The inner city riots in Britain in the 1980s had their prototypes in the 'long hot summers' of the 1960s and 1970s in New York and other big cities in the USA. 'Steaming' on the London Tube was a stunt copied directly by the criminal deizens of Britain's multi-racial areas from their kind in New York's ghetto districts.

### HORRIFIC GANG RAPE

So what comes next in this ongoing process of emulation?


Perhaps a hint is to be had from the

latest atrocity to reported from that Transatlantic sewer. An intelligent and talented young white career woman of 28 was jogging in New York's Central Park at nine in the evening. Suddenly she was set upon by a mob of black teenagers, beaten with fists, a lead pipe and a brick, bound, gagged and held down on the ground.

For the next couple of hours she was repeatedly gang-raped and slashed with a blade, whilst being dragged around like an animal, before being abandoned, barely alive, brain-damaged and unconscious.

When half a dozen of her attackers were arrested, it turned out that they were part of a pack of about thirty black youngsters from the city's ghetto area of Harlem, all aged between 13 and 17. They had decided to invade Central New York in a gang, engaging in random violence against anyone in their path — purely for the hell of it!

This is said to be the latest craze among New York's younger generation ghetto-dwellers. It is called 'wilding'. One 17-year-old arrested for his part in the attack on the young woman



**NEW YORK CITY** (pictured above) is today the crime capital of the world, a place that has become a living hell for its dwindling number of civilised, law-abiding people. The main causes are: multi-racialism and 'liberal' attitudes on the part of those in office toward law-enforcement. The result is ever-growing anarchy. British cities, with London in the lead, are perhaps 10-20 years behind cities like New York, but are travelling in the same direction. The story on this page is a warning of what can happen over here — if we don't wake up and change course before it's too late.

have been trying to stage a cover-up on the subject of the relationship between crime and race, are being forced to allow things to come out into the open. The true cause of such crimes in New York City is openly being acknowledged as being the climate of hostility between the very diverse racial groupings making up the population. The more Whites, Blacks, Browns, Yellows and Jews have been forced into cheek-by-jowl cohabitation, the more hatred and conflict have grown up between them. Now the scene seems set for some kind of final racial show-down, with current Mayor Ed Koch, one of the city's arch-promoters of multi-racialism, in grave danger of being voted out.

### THIRD WORLD SLUM

In fulfilment of Koch's and others' 'melting pot' policies, New York City has decayed into a dangerous third-world slum. In the words of one report, "whole sections of the city are now in the hands of foreign crack dealers. Homeless children prowl the streets at night. Beggars have become violent. AIDS victims, their fate sealed and

politicised, haunt doorways looking for a last refuge."


With New York's population set to become 60 per-cent non-white by the year 2000, white New Yorkers are starting to pack their bags and quit in vast numbers.

Going on past form, this could be the fate of London and Britain's other cities too, if nothing is done to halt the multi-racial juggernaut — with the difference that Britons will have far fewer wide open spaces in their country to run to. Already, in fact, our capital's population has decreased by about 1½ million over the last couple of decades, as living in its inner-city areas has become a growing hell.

Fortunately, there is still time yet to prevent things in this country becoming as bad as in America. If New Yorkers can rise up in opposition against Mayor Koch, British voters can do the same to the old-party politicians who have promoted multi-racial chaos over here.

It is high time that British people started to buck the trend by voting Nationalist. For Nationalism is the only potential force that can save our towns and cities from the fate of New York.

### LONDON'S FAILED 'TOP COP'



**METROPOLITAN POLICE** Commissioner Sir Peter Imbert (pictured here) was appointed two years year ago in a blaze of publicity. Imbert was the politicians' delight, a 'liberal' in matters of law-enforcement and an ardent multi-racialist who boasted proudly of the coloured people who had married into his family. Instead of the strong-arm methods needed to clean up the

nation's capital, Imbert was all in favour of a 'softly-softly' approach to offenders — except Whites who made so bold as to behave less than politely towards his coloured friends; they, he said, would be harshly dealt with and in fact represented London's main crime problem!

Now, two years on from Imbert's appointment, it is reported that "the Metropolitan Police Force is in crisis. Morale is low, public opinion lower." (Sunday Times Magazine, May 21st). Little has been done to reduce London's appalling crime rate. Says Sir Peter: "London demands a firm police force, but it also demands a warm and cosy relationship." It seems that the capital's police chief has certainly succeeded in the latter objective — at least where the criminal community is concerned. But as for the police being 'firm', that is just a joke.

If we want London to avoid following the path of New York, Imbert should immediately be sacked and a genuine strong man appointed in his place.

described it to police: "It kinda happens after dark, when there ain't nothing to do. You steal bicycles, have some violence. It's fun.


"Someone says 'Let's go wilding' and off you go. It means acting crazy. You know, beating people up and going to do a little violence, throw some rocks around. Those who do it sometimes hurt people badly."

Neither this young thug nor his friends showed the smallest remorse for what they had done. On the contrary, they were reported as having laughed and joked whilst in custody. One of the victim's attackers, a 16-year-old youth, smirkingly commented to police about her: "She weren't nothing." Another of the black youths, aged 14, said: "It weren't nothing — just wilding, man, just mischief."

### PUBLIC ANGER RISES

Even in New York, which has seen no less than 1,849 murders in the space of a single year and where mugging, murder and mayhem have become a way of life, this latest atrocity has stirred up quite a lot of public feeling. Not least, it has brought to the surface the latest racial tension always simmering within the pressure cooker atmosphere of this nightmarish multi-racial city.

Now at least some of the politicians and the mass media, which for years



**THE 'BIG APPLE': THE TYPES THAT RULE THE ROOST**  
Two young 'crack-dealers', pictured in a New York City lift. The firearm and the arrogant expressions tell their own story

**TREVOR DAWKINS** is a Rastafarian. As such, he belongs to a sect which takes 'pot' and whose males grow their hair long in 'dreadlocks' on grounds of 'religion'. Recently he was refused a job as a van driver by the Property Services Agency, a department of the civil service. Dawkins took the matter to an industrial tribunal and obtained a ruling which forced the PSA to take him on.

Meanwhile it remains a fact that many jobs in the civil service are closed to normal white British citizens who are known to be members of patriotic (therefore 'extreme') political groups.

\*\*\*

**BRITISH COAL** has shown itself to be just about as dumb as its National Coal Board predecessor. Besides closing down pits right, left and centre, to the detriment of Britain's coal industry, it forks out sixty quid a week on taxi fares to get a winding engineer to work at a colliery in Mid-Glamorgan because he's been banned from driving following a drink-drive offence. Wouldn't you think there was any public transport in South Wales for this man to use? And what's happened to the fraternity of Welsh miners that no-one can give their mate a lift to work?

\*\*\*

**STILL THEY COME** — like the legendary Zulus over the horizon. Only it's the Japanese now, taking over

British industry by invitation of the Thatcher Government. Nissan's £610 million investment in the North East is followed by a £100 million investment in the same area by Fujitsu, an electronics firm. Now Toyota has planned a £700 million car plant near Derby.

## THIS MAD WORLD

The Tories crow about all this creating jobs and therefore being good for us. But why is British money not being invested in these areas for the creation of jobs instead of Japanese money? Answer: because the Government is encouraging the investment of British money overseas, buying up companies in America, for instance. It's simply crazy!

\*\*\*

**A RETIRED VICAR**, aged 74, battered his 84-year-old wife to death in an argument about a radio programme. For this he was given just three years' probation! Is there one law in this country for the clergy and another for the rest of us?

\*\*\*

**IN THE 1970s** Robert Relf was sent to prison because he refused to sell his house to coloured immigrants.

Now we have a Welshman, Eifon Lloyd Jones, who happens to be a TV producer, advertising his bungalow at £10,000 less than its market value on condition that the buyer is Welsh. Will Mr. Jones be prosecuted and jailed as Mr. Relf was? We are pretty sure that he will not!

\*\*\*

**THE BROADCASTING BAN** on Sinn Fein, the IRA's political wing, was lifted for the local government elections last month. This shows just how farcical is the Government's claim that it is closing the media to terrorists and their supporters. In our opinion, the likes of Sinn Fein should not anyway be allowed to take part in British elections at all.

\*\*\*

**TWO INDIANS**, named Rushdie and Bordes, have recently become public celebrities in Britain, with the media hanging on their every word.

Salman Rushdie wrote a third-rate novel which many Moslems wanted banned. Pamela Bordes is a good-time girl who went to bed with the mighty.

What a pair of jokers! And what clowns and idiots the famous and the influential of this society who fawn over them!

### 'Raped by Whites', she claimed

**TAWANA BRAWLEY** (pictured on right) is a black American teenager who became the centre of a nationwide storm when she claimed in 1987 that she had been raped by four white men near her home in Wappinger's Falls, near New York. Needless to say, the media seized eagerly on this story as a means of discrediting the Whites. One police officer, who was implicated in the story, was driven to suicide.

Eventually, the truth came out. An enquiry established that Tawana had made the whole thing up in order to explain her late arrival home one night, as she was afraid of getting a beating for this by her mother's lover.





# THE THREAT TO THE ELEPHANT: A LEGACY OF POST-COLONIALISM

OVER the past month or two, the whole world has been alerted to the threat of extinction facing the African Elephant, which is resulting from the wholesale and indiscriminate slaughter of the species by those engaged in the lucrative ivory trade. To animal lovers everywhere, this is a potential disaster of the first magnitude and, not surprisingly, there has been a spate of newspaper articles and TV reports highlighting the problem.

But what has been left entirely outside the discussion of the elephant crisis is the connection between that crisis and the decolonisation of Africa that took place in

## YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — I wish to take issue with your comment on page 2 of last month's issue headed 'What are they doing to our Army?'

I have a daughter who is a commissioned officer in the RAF and can assure you that she is just as capable as any man in the position of air traffic control, where she is required to direct men controlling large heavy aircraft.

You underestimate the intelligence, discipline and loyalty of our modern young women officers in today's armed forces.

J. DIXON  
Bexleyheath, Kent

(Editor's note: Our article last month was not intended to belittle the role of women in the armed services, only to oppose their being employed in the same units as men. We fully accept that women can render valuable service in their own separate units, which should all be non-combatative.)

Sir, — I refer to your article 'What are they doing to our Army?' in the May issue.

I am fully in agreement with what you say. I was myself in the Royal Corps of Signals as a part-time soldier with the Territorial Army for three years, attaining the rank of Lance Corporal.

The comments about the schoolmarm supervision summed up the general atmosphere portrayed by the accompanying photograph.

I well remember such inspections occurring often on my weekly evening training periods. While my female colleagues performed their duties very well on weekend exercises and on bi-annual manoeuvres in West Germany as switchboard- and radio-operators and data telephonists, I still feel that these occupations should be kept in male hands, because those performing them are still soldiers and are expected to do infantry duties, requiring stamina, fitness and strength.

Also, having women officers (and 'ethnic' recruits) leads to demoralisation and the undermining of regimental pride and tradition. It also accentuates the problems of attracting the required calibre of recruit, who should be a red-blooded white man.

Women in the Army also magnify the problem of the low white birthrate — and therefore problems of recruitment a generation later. The wheel thus turns full-circle.

The solution to all these problems lies, as your two articles on the subject so clearly pointed out, in a return to national service and to the traditional values of motherhood.

M. ELLIS  
London W.5

Sir, — Your item on the soccer ID card scheme last month puts the whole issue into perspective.

You cannot begin to tackle the problems of football hooliganism until you have considered and corrected the problems of society today generally, problems which successive governments have totally neglected.

The ID card scheme offers no solution to the decline in British pride and discipline, and will be adding to football supporters' inconvenience and lumbering their clubs with additional expense — in a way, making them pay for the problems of society that are the politicians' fault.

The Government has produced this scheme in the same way as they have produced so many others: to give the public the impression that they are 'doing something' while in reality they are doing nothing. In fact, to put it in soccer terms, they have simply scored an 'own goal'.

G. COLLINS  
Bromley, Kent

the two decades following World War II.

The ivory trade is not new; nor is the unscrupulousness of some of its operatives. Africa's elephants have always been a mouth-watering target to these traders, who care not in the slightest that they are exterminating one of the world's best-loved animals.

The difference is that in the days when Europeans ruled those areas of Africa where the African elephant is mostly to be found this trade was carefully controlled and the illegal slaughter of elephants for tusks was kept down to a minimum. It was always ensured that the elephant population was maintained at at least the necessary reproduction level.

After the Whites relinquished power, and black governments took over in one African country after

them to turn a blind eye to the gangs roaming the bush in search of elephants to kill.

Another factor that has recently come into the reckoning was highlighted in a programme on ITV on May 16th, called *A Place of Skulls*. This programme showed how ivory poachers had been extremely active in the region where 'Zimbabwe' (Rhodesia) borders on Mozambique. The latter country has been in a state of civil war almost continuously since the Portuguese withdrew. One of the terrorist factions in this war had been organising armed gangs to roam the region in search of elephants to kill for their tusks, the profits from the sale of these tusks then being used to purchase weapons and other equipment for the maintenance of the guerilla war in Mozambique.

In fairness to the Africans, it must



### JUST ONE MORE VICTIM

*This elephant is one of many thousands left dead in the bush after ivory poachers had slaughtered them and taken their tusks. Incompetence and corruption among the black governments in Africa have led to this trade getting out of hand, with the resulting danger that the African Elephant may be extinct by the year 2000*

another, administrative incompetence and corruption resulted in these safeguards for the protection of the elephants largely disappearing. Policing of the vast country areas was nothing like as efficient as in the past. Also there has been evidence that the ivory traders have 'greased the palms' of a number of African politicians in order to get

be acknowledged that many of them want to see the end of the elephant slaughter as much as anyone else, and the 'Zimbabwe' Government was cited as an example of this, deploying military units in the region concerned with a view to hunting down and capturing the poachers.

However, such is the ineptness and corruption rife among most African governments that these measures simply are not working to anything like the degree required to save the elephants, and it now looks as if only concerted action by the white nations, including Britain, against the ivory traders has any chance of stopping the slaughter.

This tragic story is but one among many symptoms of the shambles to which most of Africa has been reduced following the White Man's abdication of control.

### The China trouble: We'll suffer!

IT'S EARLY DAYS to say exactly what's happening in China, what faction is against what other faction, what will be the political outcome.

But of one thing we can be sure: at the end of it all there'll be an awful lot of Chinese wanting to get out of their country. This paper offers no prizes for guessing where millions of these people will be seeking to go!

# Time to end this betrayal!

LABOUR front-bench blowhard Gerald Kaufman was one of the first to climb to his feet in the Commons recently to bleat his outrage when news broke of the alleged under-the-counter 'arms-for-missiles' deal between South African diplomats and Ulster Loyalists in Paris. Margaret Thatcher and her fellow Tories shortly followed suit, scuttling hither and thither like a barn-

yardful of frightened chickens at the very idea of such transactions.

Very soon in fact they started expelling South African diplomats from Britain. What had seemingly happened was that Ulstermen claiming to be members of Loyalist paramilitaries had been seeking arms from South Africa. In return, the South Africans wanted the Loyalists to supply parts of various types of ground-to-air missile manufactured by the Ulster firm of Shorts Bros., this being the one area of weapons production the South African munitions industry has not yet mastered.

The deal was to be carried through covertly. There are of course reasons why the South Africans and Ulster Loyalists

issue, which affects the latter's very survival. In face of such an attitude of betrayal towards them, it is hardly to be wondered at that the South Africans should feel so little compunction about using irregular methods to gain the means of their self-defence from Britain.


And exactly the same might be said of the loyal British folk of Ulster.

## South Africans and Ulster Loyalists enter into 'guns-for-missiles' deal because both have been let down by Britain

For 20 years, IRA gangsters have bombed, shot and terrorised the province's loyal British majority in order to force them into the Republic of Ireland. And during this time never has the Government at Westminster seen fit to show any real will to defend the Ulster people from this terror.

Constant compromise, appeasement and betrayal have been the standard responses of one government after another. This climaxed with Mrs. Thatcher's infamous Anglo-Irish Agreement.

Never, during the long reign of terror that has had Ulster in its grip have any of our prime ministers seen it as their duty to declare, without any 'ifs' or 'buts' that Ulster would remain British forever. Still less has there been the



### JUST ONE MORE TREACHEROUS ACT

*Mrs. Thatcher and Irish Premier Haughey shake hands after signing the Anglo-Irish Agreement, which gives the IRA half of what they want and the likely promise of more to come. It is Government flabiness and appeasement in the face of Republican terror that has led to the Loyalist Community taking up arms in their own self-defence. Britain will not protect them so they must protect themselves*

have reached the point where they engage in such clandestine operations. For all the cluckings and bleatings of the politicians at Westminster, such dealings are simply an outcome of those politicians' own policies over many years towards both South Africa, a natural friend of the United Kingdom, and Ulster, a part of it — policies of constant betrayal and sell-out.

To begin with, the British Government in fact refuses to sell arms to South Africa. Why? Because of a UN embargo.

Back in the 1960s, Harold Wilson's Labour Government stopped supplies of weaponry to the South Africans at the behest of the Afro-Asian banana republics, whose representatives were able to command a majority in the international monkey house known as the United Nations General Assembly, and thereby impose the ban.

No subsequent British Government, Labour or Tory, has ever gone against this embargo. None has ever acted in support of Britain's white racial kindred in South Africa over this vital

smallest move to wipe out the IRA — as could and should have been done years ago by the official forces of the Crown.

This is the background against which Ulstermen have taken it into their own hands to form armed defence organisations — as the only effective means of protecting their families and neighbourhoods.

Ulstermen and South Africans have, by these processes, found themselves in a similar boat — victims of the same kind of betrayal at the hands of those who should be on their side. It is therefore not surprising that they should have co-operated.

Their mutual dealings are the measure of the betrayal they have suffered at the hands of Britain's rulers.

It is time for a U-turn. Britain should be backing South Africa with arms supplies that she needs. She should be backing Ulster by protecting its British majority as they are entitled. When these commonsense policies are adopted, such clandestine deals as that which has caused all the recent uproar would become unnecessary.

**JUNE 15th: EUROPEAN ELECTIONS**  
**Boycott the Euro-Parliament...**

# DON'T VOTE!



# WHY ALL THIS FUSS ABOUT OUR 'UNCOMFORTABLE' PRISONS?

LAST MONTH'S trouble at the Risley Remand Centre in Cheshire has reopened the debate about conditions in Britain's prisons, and in the process got all the bleeding hearts and do-gooders, cluck-clucking again in support of cosy conditions for the criminal fraternity.

Let us acknowledge this right at the start: Risley itself is a special case because it is a detention centre for alleged offenders who have not yet been convicted. For this reason, there is a strong argument in favour of conditions there being improved.

But the furore over Risley has been turned into a furore about conditions in Britain's prisons generally, including those affecting prisoners — and they are the great majority — who have had a fair trial and been found guilty of crimes, many of them of the most subhuman kind.

In the case of these prisoners, we simply fail to see why there should be this great nationwide concern over the conditions they are obliged to live under. Why indeed should their living conditions be pleasant and comfortable? Who the hell are they — criminals, as we are led to suppose, or distinguished citizens who have performed fine services to society?

## CRAMPED CELLS: WHY NOT?

One of the favourite topics for agonising by the do-gooders is that of the cramped conditions that prisoners are forced to put up with in their cells. The prisoners, the do-gooders, should have more space.

Again, that might be a fair protest where it concerns remand prisoners, but in the case of convicted ones it is balderdash. Some of us have become acquainted with the insides of prison cells as a result of our political activities, and we never found the space intolerable. The cells were not exactly the ideal in terms of room to move about, but convicted prisoners should not expect the ideal; they should expect to live under conditions appropriate to people who are being punished.

Then there is the protest over prisoners being required to 'slop out' (the regular exercise whereby they empty and clean their bedchambers and washing bowls. But why the hell should they not do so? Someone has to do it — if it is not the prisoner himself, it would have to be a member of the prison staff. Of course it would be different if each cell were equipped with a proper toilet and flushing system, but this would cost a great of money to the taxpayer when there are other, and greater, priorities. Again, the inhabitants of our jails are supposed to be suffering punishment. The chore of 'slopping out' may not be all that pleasurable, but we can think of many others that would be a great deal less so but which not be undue impositions in the case of people who have committed crimes against society.

## AS PUNISHMENT OR FOR PUNISHMENT?

The 'liberals' whose crusade it is to make life easy for the criminals in our jails have a favourite saying which they think justifies their point of view: they say that criminals are sent to prison as punishment and not for punishment. In other words, the punishment to which they are subjected consists simply of being deprived of their freedom; there should be no further punishment in the way of unpleasant conditions once they are in jail.

This is in fact nonsense. The mere deprivation of freedom means very little to a great many prisoners. A lot of



The Risley protest: it got all the bleeding hearts and do-gooders breast-beating again

them come from backgrounds which they miss very little by being deprived of contact with them. Such 'freedom' as they possess outside means very little to them either, as they are in large part people of the kind incapable of using it and far preferring the security that prison offers in the way of warmth and shelter, a regular square meal and people on hand who will order their lives for them in a way they are incapable of ordering themselves.

Comfortable prisons, where living conditions were as the 'liberals' and do-gooders would have them, would in fact be far preferable to many of these

criminals than conditions outside. There would not be the slightest incentive to them to stay out of jail; on the contrary, there would be a great deal of incentive to get in!

In fact, you cannot separate these two concepts of 'as punishment' and 'for punishment'. If there is not a strong degree of punishment in the conditions criminals have to live under when they get to prison, there is little or no punishment in being sent there.

So we say it is time that our bleeding hearts and do-gooders stopped agonising about the conditions convicts have to face in our prisons, and turned

their attention to other, much more justified, causes for protest: the conditions facing many of our old people, living on meagre pensions, or the conditions prevailing in our grossly underfunded hospitals.

Prisons should be highly unpleasant places, from which their inhabitants should long to get out and to which they should never wish to return. That is the whole principle involved in the concept of imprisonment. It is time that we rediscovered it, and stopped bleating about the 'harsh' lot of those who, in the main part, comprise the scum of society.

## Kinnock about-turns on Europe — so what's new?

**LABOUR LEADER** Neil Kinnock has recently done a dramatic U-turn on the Common Market. From being a fervent anti-Marketeer, he has now become an equally fervent supporter of the EEC — and all in a relatively short time.

Five years ago Mr. Kinnock was saying "We want out of the Common Market." Now he is speaking in favour of the single market and even slamming Mrs. Thatcher for not being sufficient of a committed 'European'.

Addressing a meeting of the Welsh TUC in Swansea on the 28th April, Kinnock said:-

"We need a government that will participate in the development of Europe, that will play a direct influential role in fashioning the institutions and relationships of the Market within which our economy must work to prosper."

Kinnock went on to say of Mrs. Thatcher's seeming reluctance to consent to closer political and financial integration with Europe that:-

"It looks less like concern for sovereignty and more like perfidy and dodginess — treachery as the dictionary defines it."

Kinnock's big volte face on Europe is of course utterly contemptible, but it should not be the cause for any great surprise. Westminster politicians are, in the vast majority, cast in exactly the same mould. Tory Peter Walker was at one time a fervent anti-marketeer, but he changed course as soon as he saw the way his path to promotion was signposted. Enoch Powell, on the other hand, was up till quite an advanced stage of his political career in favour of the Common Market and then, when well into his fifties, he changed sides and came down among the 'antis' — very much as he did on the issue of immigration.

In Powell's case, he was quite right to join the opponents of the Market when he did — a case of better late than never. He was also right to come down against immigration. But what was not right was that he took so long to do both, having for so much of his political life been prepared to go along with these equally ruinous policies. His late change, just like Kinnock's recent change in the opposite direction, indicated what almost all Westminster politicians seem to suffer from: a lack of any firm ideological 'anchor', of any genuine mission in politics based on rock-hard convictions of what is right and wrong for their country, but simply a political temperament prone to

passing whim, conditioned by the thought of some tactical advantage to themselves in altering course.

The true fact is that Kinnock, if he thought it good for Kinnock, would as readily change back again and oppose the Common Market — finding no difficulty in using his gift of the gab to justify his switch in terms of a 'change' in the national or international situation.

Kinnock simply demonstrates what has

been amply demonstrated in the past: that you just cannot trust the types of political 'leaders' nowadays thrown up by the Westminster parties. They have no firm convictions whatever. They will bend this way, and then that way, according to the way they think the wind is blowing — and always with a view to their own momentary political advantage, never the good of the country or the people they are supposed to serve.



TWO-WAYS KINNOCK  
Where does he really stand on the EEC?

Nigel Lawson has failed to prevent inflation rising to 8 per-cent.

So, the old terror of the 1970s is creeping up on us once again, despite all the promises of the Thatcher Government that it was being brought under control.

So what really causes inflation? Is it caused by workers getting unearned wage rises? No, that can force up the price of certain goods but in a properly managed economy the prices of other goods would correspondingly come down.

Is inflation caused when the prices of certain imports rise? No again, in a properly managed economy this would simply mean less purchasing power to spend on other goods, including imports.


## INFLATION AGAIN: WHY WE HAVE IT

Inflation is in fact caused by the banking system, which effectively controls our economy.

Every piece of new money fed into the economy to fuel economic growth is allowed (by government) to be created by the banks — as an interest-bearing loan. This interest has to be paid back to the banks, and it becomes written into the price of every item of goods and services for sale. As the rate of money being fed into the economy rises, so does inflation rise. It is inevitable.

The answer? Let government issue all the new money — and issue it free!

LAWSON  
Trying to work an unworkable system



## The nuclear deterrent: nonsense from Neil AND Maggie

As many of the papers have said, and as Tory defence spokesmen have said, the Labour Party's latest policy on nuclear weapons is a joke.

Neil Kinnock, in a pathetic effort to save Labour's bacon at the next general election, has done a quick change and said that his party would preserve Polaris and Trident nuclear missiles. But, mindful of the threatened ire of Labour's left wing, he has refused to say whether there is any contingency in which a Labour Government would use the missiles.

No weapon can be a deterrent to attack unless the nation possessing it is prepared to make clear that it will use it if necessary.

Tories have attacked this posture, saying that Britain cannot have an effective nuclear capability under such conditions.

But is the Tory policy really any better? The policy of the Thatcher Government has been to reduce Britain's effective nuclear defences to the Polaris and Trident missiles. Both are supplied by America. In other words, our ability to defend ourselves in a nuclear war exists only by permission of the United States!

The only truly credible British nuclear deterrent is one that Britain makes herself in her own British factories.

We in the BNP believe that a BRITISH-MADE nuclear capability is essential to this country. Like the French, we should refuse to depend on America. We could not produce a nuclear capability of the size of the US or Soviet one, but we could produce one big enough to create a strike force able to deter any power in the world from attacking us. That is what 'deterrent' is all about.





# B.N.P. POSTERS

## SPREADING THE WORD

Items available from the

# BNP Bookshop


154 Upper Wickham Lane  
Welling  
Kent DA16 3DP

Telephone: 01 316 4721


Open Tuesdays to Fridays, 10 a.m. to 5.30 p.m.



Oppose the disarmers! Build up our forces! Make Britain strong!



What's happened to free speech? Mentions bans and prosecutions against nationalists.



Multi-racial Britain: the experiment that failed. Help us end it!



Protect British jobs: ban imports!

Posters are 12.6 in. x 17.7 in. bearing BNP name, address and logo in red, white and blue.

1-9 at 12p each  
10-19 at 10p each  
20-49 at 8p each  
50 or over at 6p each

Estimate postage at weight of 20g for one poster

These posters are not to be placed on unauthorised sites



Support White South Africa

## SPECIAL ITEMS

### B.N.P. RECORDINGS

Rally '87: Video-recording of BNP London rally in October 1987. Speeches by John Tyndall, Ian Sloan, Gus McLeod, Dr. Peter Peel, Richard Edmonds, David Bruce and Stanley Clayton-Garnett.


Rally '82: Sound recording of the BNP London rally in October 1982.

Tyndall Speaks I: Sound-recorded talks on racial heritage and economic crisis.

Tyndall Speaks II: Sound-recorded talks on nationalism and World War II.

Tyndall Speaks III: Sound-recorded talks on repatriation and the national community.


Tyndall Speaks IV: Sound-recorded talks on unemployment and the racial time-bomb.




Video: £15 plus  
p&p. Sound: £3.50 plus  
26p p&p.

**SPEARHEAD**  
Monthly magazine supporting the British National Party. Subscription for 12 issues (British Isles) £10.00. Sample copy: 82p post-free.

**VOTE FOR BRITAIN**  
BNP Manifesto for the General Election of 1983. 44p post-free.



**A NEW WAY FORWARD**  
Statement of the political objectives of the British National Party. 24p post-free.




**BRITISH NATIONALIST**  
Tabloid produced in support of the British National Party, normally monthly. Subscription for 12 issues: £5.62. Sample copy: 44p post-free.



Protect our women and old folk: stamp out muggers!




She freezes in winter while Third World gets £1,000 million a year. Put British people before aliens!



Support Ulster: smash terrorism. Hang IRA murderers!


## B.N.P. STICKERS



OUTLAW HOMOSEXUALITY!



Protect our women and old folk STAMP OUT MUGGERS!



Fight subversion SMASH COMMUNISM!



LOVE THE WHITE RACE Protect its future!



Save our cities from this (riot)... START REPATRIATION!



As more unemployment rolls off the boats, we say... BAN IMPORTS!



Protect our young from child murderers... BRING BACK THE ROPE!



Oppose the disarmers! Build up our forces! MAKE BRITAIN STRONG



Scrap the Anglo-Irish Agreement KEEP ULSTER BRITISH!



ABORTION IS CHILD MURDER Make it illegal!



Free speech is under attack - DEFEND IT! Mentions prosecutions and bans against nationalists




Support Ulster Smash terrorism HANG I.R.A. MURDERERS!



Oppose the disarmers Build up our forces MAKE BRITAIN STRONG



CND are Moscow's puppets Don't be fooled by them KEEP BRITAIN'S BOMB!



She freezes in Winter while Third World gets £1,000 million a year PUT BRITISH PEOPLE BEFORE ALIENS!



# Lack of collusion!

THE MAY ISSUE of that highly reputable and factual journal *Searchlight* carried a report concerning "a packed house in Central London" which had congregated "to hear anti-fascist speakers from Europe and the United States." *Searchlight* declared that this was "a heart-warming development in these days when active anti-racism has become rather less fashionable than it has been in the past." *Searchlight* went on to say, in reference to the memory of the late and unlamented Maurice Ludmer, that "this international rally at Friends Meeting House...testified to the fact that his work is being successfully prosecuted." The magazine concluded: "Increasingly, we are making the running."

Before making such proud boasts, *Searchlight* would be advised to co-

ordinate its propaganda output with that of its co-religionists. On the 5th May, the *Jewish Chronicle* warned that "a rising tide of racism is sweeping across Europe," and then, to add to the alarm, it said that *Searchlight's* mass meeting at Friends House "failed to attract a large crowd, and the hall at the Friend's Meeting House in Euston was far from full."

Clearly, *Searchlight* has a lot more running to do to catch us up, for not only are the Elders of Zion suffering from a breakdown in their cohesion but anti-racism, apparently, has plummeted in the popularity ratings. On the other hand, nationalism is becoming rather more fashionable than it has been in the past. The late and unlamented Maurice Ludmer must indeed be turning in his grave!

## BNP in action last month



### LOUGHTON

The BNP waged a very vigorous and effective campaign in Loughton (Essex) in support of its candidate Robert Jarvis, who was standing in the St. John's Division in the elections to Essex County Council. Mr. Jarvis won 146 votes (4.5 per-cent of the poll), the best nationalist vote anywhere for some years. This picture shows members of the Epping Forest Branch, accompanied by one of two auxiliaries from other branches, pausing during a day's electioneering



### NORWICH

The BNP was less fortunate in Norwich than in Loughton, Richard Heaton, standing in the Eaton Division, getting a mere 18 votes. The Norwich area is very hard territory for the BNP and a good vote was not expected. Nevertheless, the party won a great deal of local publicity in its campaign — and that was the main object of the exercise. In the photo above, Richard Edmonds broadcasts on the loudspeaker, accompanied by Ian McIntosh delivering leaflets. With them are two attractive young lady flag-bearers, whose presence lent extra colour to the proceedings. In the lower picture, the campaigners pause to face the camera



## BNP man's home fire-bombed

JOHN PEACOCK, East Midlands Regional Organiser of the British National Party, suffered a vicious and cowardly attack on his home in Leicester on the night of April 21st, immediately preceding the BNP rally scheduled to take place in the city the following day.

A fire bomb was pushed through the letter box of Mr. Peacock's front door. Fortunately, the fire it caused was put out before it could destroy his home entirely, and the main damage was to furniture and other fittings. The total cost to Mr. Peacock of these items will



JOHN PEACOCK

be approximately £1,500.

John Peacock, in a statement the following day, said that this attack would not stop his activities and that he intended to carry on leading the nationalist struggle in Leicester and the East Midlands.

Although John Peacock has not asked for help in meeting the cost of the damage to his home, we are determined that he will get it. A donation from the collection at the Loughton rally on April 22nd has been sent to him, but this is only the start. A lot more will be needed. Those wishing to send contributions should make their cheques or postal orders out to John Peacock and send them to the Leicester BNP address, which is PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD.

## VICIOUS INJUSTICE

PETER BAINES, North Nottinghamshire Organiser of the BNP was given a viciously unjust jail sentence as a result of an affray in Sheffield some months ago, when a small contingent of BNP activists was attacked by a much larger left-wing mob.

The BNP men did as anyone would do in the same circumstances: they defended themselves as best they could. Barry Bolton, one of the BNP men, received some nasty injuries. Peter Baines, while in the act of defending himself, was arrested by the police and charged. Despite a clean record, he was given 9 months imprisonment.

Everything about this incident stinks. The police arrived almost immediately the red attack on the BNP men started, suggesting that they had been alerted beforehand about the likely affray and were ready to pounce. The sentence passed on Peter Baynes was outrageous in view of the fact that the opponents of the BNP were quite obviously the aggressors — it hardly being likely that the BNP men would attack them in the face of such big numerical odds.

Peter Baynes has been advised not to appeal, in view of the fact that the probable length of time he would spend waiting for the appeal to come to court would be greater than the time he is likely to serve.

Replying to a letter from BNP leader John Tyndall, Peter has said that he is in good spirits and that his resolve is not shaken by this injustice. We are sure, however, that he would appreciate hearing from party supporters. Those wishing to write to him should address their letters: DD0252 Baynes, P., North Unit, HM Prison Rudgate, Wetherby, Yorks. LS23 7AZ.

## IN MEMORIAM



Albert Marriner, an ex-serviceman and pensioner, was murdered by a screaming mob of savages while attending a nationalist election meeting in Tottenham in May 1983. Albert Marriner was a member of the National Front, but was respected by nationalists of all organisations, including the BNP. Regularly, BNP members of Tower Hamlets Branch, near his old stamping ground, together with his NF ex-colleagues and members of his family, tend his grave in East London. Recently, a floral tribute to Mr. Marriner was laid in memory of his sacrifice and devotion to the patriotic cause — which in matters like this transcends party and factional rivalries. As the gravestone says, Albert Marriner was a true son of St. George

### Northern Rallies

## HYSTERIA BUILDING UP

HYSTERICAL REACTION is building up in the northern towns of Darlington and Dewsbury following the announcement of BNP rallies there this month.

The Darlington Borough Council (Tory controlled) has given the BNP a booking of the Dolphin Centre, in the centre of Darlington, for its rally on June 10th. Now, however, intense pressure is being put on the Council by local left-wingers to cancel the booking. If this happens, the BNP will still hold the rally somewhere in the area.

In response to an application for a hall in Dewsbury, Kirklees Council (Labour controlled) has given a flat refusal. The BNP will still hold its rally somewhere nearby.

## St. George's Day Draw

The results of the St. George's Day Draw, organised last month by BNP Yorkshire Region, were as follows:-

- 1st prize: Mr. T. Mullery (Leeds)
- 2nd prize: Mr. S. Cartwright (Glasgow)
- 3rd prize: Mr. K. Elwill (Liverpool)
- 4th prize: Mr. T. Cameron (Basingstoke)
- 5th prize: Mr. J. Atkinson (Doncaster)
- 6th prize: Mr. J. Hall (Ashford, Kent)
- 7th prize: Mr. H. Finch (Birmingham)
- 8th prize: Mr. D. Hamilton (Wakefield)
- 9th prize: Mr. P. Wilson (Darlington)

All those who bought tickets for the Draw are thanked for their support. The profits are being split 50-50 between the regional HQ in Leeds and the party's national bookshop in Welling.

Many thanks are also due to Leeds Organiser Mick Gibson, who put in a great deal of work organising and promoting the Draw.

## RALLIES THIS MONTH



Saturday, June 10th

## DARLINGTON

At the Dolphin Centre, Central Darlington  
2.30 p.m.

Speakers will include:-

JOHN TYNDALL

As we go to press, the opponents of the BNP in Darlington are doing their best to get its hire of the meeting premises cancelled. Should this happen, the rally will be held at an alternative location in the area, with those attending redirected from the Dolphin Centre

Saturday, June 24th

## DEWSBURY (Yorks)

Rendezvous for redirection:-  
Outside Dewsbury Railway Station  
2 p.m.

Speakers will include:-

JOHN TYNDALL

The local borough council has forbidden the BNP to hire any publicly-owned premises for this rally. An alternative location is being arranged, however, and those attending will be redirected to this location from the rendezvous point



## LOUGHTON: B.N.P. BEATS SOCIAL DEMOCRATS

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY candidate Robert Jarvis beat the SDP candidate by two votes in St. Johns division of Loughton in the election to Essex County Council on May 4th. Mr. Jarvis polled 146 votes, which represented 4½ per-cent of the poll. This, in a quiet and prosperous part of suburbia, far away from inner city tensions and squalor, was no small achievement. The BNP vote, while by no means large, was a big improvement on recent results by nationalist candidates, indeed the best result in any election fought under similar conditions by nationalist candidates

since the 1970s.

What is also noteworthy is that Robert Jarvis won in his small division more than half the votes won by the National Front candidate in the recent Epping Forest parliamentary by-election, when the poll covered an entire constituency and embraced an electorate about 10 times the size! In other words, the BNP performance in this council election was about five times as good as that of the NF in the by-election.

Congratulations to Robert Jarvis and all who helped him!

## ANOTHER FINE LOUGHTON RALLY

THE SECOND LOUGHTON RALLY of 1989 held by the British National Party proved to be as good as the first. The

second rally took place on Thursday, April 27th, and was held in support of Robert Jarvis, BNP candidate for St. Johns

division of Loughton in the elections to Essex County Council. By contrast to the Leicester rally a few days earlier, the

Loughton meeting was an entirely peaceful affair.

About 100 were in attendance — quite an achievement for a weekday when the rally depended mainly on local support.

David Bruce, Richard Edmonds, Robert Jarvis and John Tyndall spoke, with local Organiser Mark Wilson in the chair. In response to an appeal by David Bruce, an excellent collection raised over £300 — half of which is being donated to help East Midlands Organiser John Peacock meet the damages arising from out of the recent fire-bombing of his home.

Well done Loughton again for an excellent event!



LOUGHTON

Two shots of the fine rally, taken from front and back of hall. In left-hand picture, left to right: David Bruce, Mark Wilson (Chairman), John Tyndall (speaking), Richard Edmonds and Robert Jarvis (candidate)

## REDS FOILED AT LEICESTER

ONCE AGAIN, an attempt by a ragbag of left-wing rowdies to stop a BNP meeting was foiled at Leicester on Saturday, April 22nd, when the nationalists held a highly successful rally in the city in support of John Peacock's candidature for the Leicestershire County Council.

A BNP contingent of slightly over 100 attended the rally. As they arrived they were confronted at the entrance to the hall by a jeering, filthiest and scummiest reds seen for a long time. Despite the provocation, the BNP party walked with dignity into the meeting hall, ignoring the vermin lining the path in.

The police were present in considerable strength to maintain the peace — which was perhaps just as well for the reds. A crowd of them was allowed into the meeting about similar in number to the BNP contingent. In a discussion between the BNP leaders and the senior police officer present, the latter insisted on depriving the BNP of the right to eject troublemakers from the meeting, and made it clear that the police, and the police alone, would attend to this task. The BNP leaders had no alternative but to comply.

The police operation in dealing with the disrupters was slow and piecemeal, and the meeting ended with a great many of the reds still in the hall — quite a contrast to the rally in East London in 1986, when the BNP stewards handled the task of ejection and had the troublemakers out in about three minutes flat!

Due to the slowness of the police ejection, the speakers at the

Leicester rally, John Peacock and John Tyndall, had to contend with a continual barrage of chanting throughout their speeches. With the aid of loudhailers, they were able to get most of their message across — though they were inaudible at times.

The BNP, however, achieved its main object in going to Leicester. The incidents surrounding the meeting made considerable publicity in the local press and on local radio, and once again the message was

driven home to the red mob that neither threats nor mayhem will prevent the BNP exercising its lawful rights of public assembly.

The BNP attendance was the best at an event of this kind than for some time. A contingent of 40 came from the London and South Eastern counties area, which greatly boosted the numbers. Attendance from other areas was up, and the turn-out was a vast improvement on the 1987 Leicester rally, when less than 50 were present.



LEICESTER

Top right: On the platform — order. John Peacock speaks. Bottom left: At the back of the hall — disorder. A section of the left-wing mob. Bottom right: meeting stops while police and stewards move towards disrupters

### BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 25p from your local seller. Would bulk-purchasers order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £2.50 + 65p post  
25 copies £5.50 + £2.10 post  
50 copies £10.00 + £2.60 post  
100 copies £17.50 + £3.00 post  
150 copies £25.00 + £3.45 post  
200 copies £30.00 + £3.85 post  
300 copies £42.00 + £5.00 post  
400 copies £55.00 + £5.00 post  
500 copies £65.00 ) Bulk rates  
1,000 copies £125.00 ) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to British Nationalist, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning British Nationalist totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

## SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £5.00 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles and £6.60 (unsealed surface mail) for subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign currency cheques and POs and an additional £2 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

## What We Stand For

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs
- A government that will put British interests first
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce
- The revival of British farming
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation
- British support for the Whites in South Africa
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes
- The strengthening of Britain's defences
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty
- The smashing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media
- The elimination of communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom

### WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW  
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a member and enclose £12  
(Half rate for OAPs and students) ☐

Name.....

Address.....